

**REMARKS**

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated June 10, 2009 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claims 4-5 and 7-23 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

In the final Office Action, claims 4 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon (US Pub. No. 2004/0189584, hereinafter referred to as “Moon”) in view of Tanada (US Patent 6,909,409, hereinafter referred to as Tanada), claims 5, 7-10 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Moon in view of Tanada and further in view of Inukai (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0000576, hereinafter referred to as “Inukai”), and claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon in view of Tanada and Inukai and further in view of Komiya (U.S. Patent No. 6,924,602, hereinafter referred to as “Komiya”).

Applicants submit the US filing date of Moon is December 17, 2003, which is after the foreign priority date of the present application. More specifically, the present application claims priority to Korean Patent Application No. 10-2003-0083944, filed in KIPO on November 25, 2003. The contents of the claims 4-5 and 7-23, rejected by the Examiner, are included in the Korean Application. To perfect this claim for priority, Applicants submit a certified English translation of Korean Patent Application No. 10-2003-0083944.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 4-5 and 7-23 are allowable over the cited references because Moon is improper prior art, and the cited references fail to teach the combination of features recited in the claims of the present application. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are requested.

Applicants believe the foregoing remarks place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps

**Application No.: 10/825,357**  
**Response dated September 10, 2009**  
**Reply to Office Action dated June 10, 2009**

**Docket No.: 8733.1031.00**

necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: September 10, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By Valerie P. Hayes  
Valerie P. Hayes  
Registration No.: 53,005  
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP  
1900 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 496-7500  
Attorneys for Applicant